

STOCHASTIC CALCULUS, SUMMER 2023, JUNE 14,  
LECTURE 4  
CONSTRUCTION OF THE ITO INTEGRAL

Reading for this lecture (for references see the end of the lecture):

- [1] pp. 125-137
- [2] pp. 33-67
- [3] pp. 128-148
- [4] pp. 21-42

**Motivation.** Consider an asset whose price per share is equal to  $X_t, t \geq 0$  and consider a portfolio that initially consists of  $\Delta_0$  shares. Consider the following trading strategy. Keep initial position  $\Delta_0$  up to time  $t_1 \geq t_0 = 0$  and then re-balance the portfolio by taking position  $\Delta_1$  in the asset. Keep it up to time  $t_2 \geq t_1$  and then re-balance the portfolio again by taking position  $\Delta_2$  in the asset. In general, we re-balance the portfolio at trading date  $t_i$  by taking position  $\Delta_i$  in the asset and keeping it till the next trading date  $t_{i+1}$ . What is the profit  $I_T(\Delta)$  of the above trading strategy at time  $T$ ? Clearly

$$I_T(\Delta) = \Delta_0(X(t_1) - X(t_0)) + \Delta_1(X(t_2) - X(t_1)) + \cdots + \Delta_{n-1}(X(t_n) - X(t_{n-1})) \quad (1)$$

and by analogy with the Reimann integral we write symbolically

$$I_T(\Delta) = \int_0^T \Delta(t) dX(t), \quad (2)$$

where  $\Delta(t)$  is a piecewise constant function which is equal to  $\Delta_i$  on  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ .

**Construction of the stochastic integral.** We fix an interval  $[S, T]$  and try to make sense of

$$\int_S^T f(t, w) dX_t(w), \quad (3)$$

where  $f(t, w)$  is a random function and  $dX_t(w)$  refers to the increments of stochastic process  $X_t$ . Before we proceed we have to clarify a few things.

- First, we restrict attention to such functions  $f$  that for any fixed  $t$  random variable  $f(t, w)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable. To explain this restriction let's come back to our canonical example (2). Position  $\Delta_i$  we take in the asset at time  $t_i, i \geq 1$ , may depend on the price history of the asset,  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , but it must be independent of the future behavior of the process  $X_t$ .
- Second, we restrict our consideration to the case when  $X_t$  is a Brownian motion. The case of general stochastic process  $X_t$  is quite similar.

The problem we face when trying to assign meaning to integral (3) is that Brownian motion paths cannot be differentiated with respect to time. If  $X(t)$  is a differentiable function, then we can define

$$\int_S^T f(t, w) dX(t) = \int_S^T f(t, w) X'(t) dt, \quad (4)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>this version June 14, 2023

where the right-hand side is an ordinary integral with respect to time. That is for every trajectory of  $X(t)$  we can define  $\int_S^T f(t, w) dX(t)$ . This approach does not work for Brownian motion as we proved that the trajectories of Brownian motion are not differentiable.

Just like in the definition of the usual Riemann integral  $\int_S^T f(t) dt$ , where  $f(t)$  is a deterministic function, we start with a definition for a simple class of functions  $f$  and then extend by some approximation procedure.

Assume that  $\Pi = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  is a partition of  $[S, T]$ , i.e.

$$S = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n = T, \quad (5)$$

and that  $f(t, w)$  is constant in  $t$  on each subinterval  $[t_j, t_{j+1})$ . Such a process  $f(t, w)$  is called a *simple process*. We start with defining integral (3) for simple processes. Consider interval  $[t_0, t_1]$ . On this interval  $f(t, \omega) = e_1(\omega)$  is a random quantity, but independent of  $t$  and thus it is natural to assume that

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(t, \omega) dB_t(\omega) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e_1(\omega) dB_t(\omega) = e_1(\omega) (B(t_1) - B(t_0)).$$

Applying this procedure to intervals  $[t_2, t_1], [t_3, t_2], \dots$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S^T f(t, w) dB_t(w) &= e_1(w) (B(t_1) - B(t_0)) \\ &+ e_2(w) (B(t_2) - B(t_1)) + e_3(w) (B(t_3) - B(t_2)) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Naturally, to define stochastic integral (3) for general process  $f(t, w)$  we approximate it with simple processes similarly to approximation of continuous functions by stepwise constant functions in the theory of Riemann integration. But without any further assumption on approximating functions  $e_i(w)$ , our definition of the integral leads to difficulties. Here is an example of what kind of difficulties we can expect. Consider

$$\int_0^T B_t dB_t. \quad (7)$$

Riemann integral is a limit of Riemann sums:

$$\int_S^T f(t) dt \approx \sum_{i=0}^n f(t_i^*) (t_{i+1} - t_i), \quad (8)$$

where  $t_i^*$  is ANY point on the interval  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ . When the length of the longest interval in the partition tends to zero the limit is  $\int_S^T f(t) dt$ . Let us point out that it was not important what point  $t_i^*$  we took inside the interval  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ . For example, it could be  $t_i$  (left point approximation) or  $t_{i+1}$  (right point approximation). Let us try to do the same for integral (7).

Left point approximation:

$$I_1 \cong \sum_i B(t_i) (B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i)). \quad (9)$$

Right point approximation:

$$I_2 \cong \sum_i B(t_{i+1}) (B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i)). \quad (10)$$

From the independence of increments of Brownian motion and the fact that  $\mathbb{E}[(B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i))] = \mathbb{E}[B(t_1)] = 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(I_1) &= \sum_i \mathbb{E}[B(t_i) (B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i))] \\ &= \sum_i \mathbb{E}[B(t_i)] \mathbb{E}[(B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i))] = 0. \\ \mathbb{E}(I_2) &= \sum_i \mathbb{E}[B(t_{i+1}) (B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i))] \\ &= \sum_i \mathbb{E}[B(t_{i+1})^2 - B(t_{i+1}) B(t_i)] \\ &= \sum_i [t_{i+1} - t_i] = T, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

since  $\mathbb{E}[B(t_{i+1})^2] = t_{i+1}$  and  $\mathbb{E}[B(t_{i+1}) B(t_i)] = t_i$  as follows from

$$\mathbb{E}(B(t) B(s)) = \min(s, t). \quad (12)$$

Thus we see that depending on the choice of the point  $t_i^*$  in the approximation we can get very different results. Function  $f(t, w)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable and thus it is reasonable to choose the approximating simple function to be  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable as well. We therefore have to choose the left end point approximation. In what follows we choose

$$t_i^* = t_i \text{ (left end point approximation)} \quad (13)$$

which leads to the Itô integral.

*Remark 1.* If for each  $t \geq 0$  random variable  $f(t, w)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$  measurable we say that the process  $f(t, w)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted. For example, if  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is filtration of Brownian motion then the process  $f_t(t, w) = B(t/2)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted, while  $f_t(t, w) = B(2t)$  is not.

**Properties of the Itô integral for simple processes.** The Itô integral (3) is defined as the gain from trading in the martingale  $B_t$ . A martingale has no tendency to rise or fall and hence it is to be expected that

$$I_t(f) = \int_0^t f(t, w) dB_t \quad (14)$$

also has no tendency to rise or fall.

**Theorem 2.** *Itô integral is a martingale.*

*Proof.* see [1], pages 128-129. □

Because  $I_t(f)$  is a martingale and  $I_0 = 0$  we have  $\mathbb{E}I_t(f) = 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . It follows that  $\text{Var}I_t(f) = \mathbb{E}I_t^2(f)$  can be evaluated by the formula in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *The Itô integral satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E}I_t^2(f) = \mathbb{E} \int_0^t f(s, w)^2 ds \quad (15)$$

*Proof.* For the simplicity of notation we introduce  $\Delta B_i = B(t_{i+1}) - B_t(t_i)$ ,  $e_i = e_i(w)$ . Then by definition

$$I_t(f) = \int_0^t f(s, \omega) dB_s = \sum_i e_i \Delta B_i \quad (16)$$

and

$$\left( \int_S^T f(t, w) dB_t \right)^2 = \left( \sum_i e_i \Delta B_i \right)^2 = \sum_{i,j} e_i e_j \Delta B_i \Delta B_j. \quad (17)$$

Taking expectation

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \int_S^T f(t, \omega) dB_t \right)^2 = \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{E}(e_i e_j \Delta B_i \Delta B_j). \quad (18)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(e_i e_j \Delta B_i \Delta B_j) = \begin{cases} 0 = \mathbb{E}(\Delta B_j), & \text{if } i < j \\ \mathbb{E}(e_i^2 \Delta B_i^2), & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

For  $i = j$  we use independence of increments property to conclude

$$\mathbb{E}(e_i^2 (\Delta B_i)^2) = \mathbb{E}(e_i^2) \mathbb{E}(\Delta B_i)^2 = \mathbb{E}(e_i^2) (t_{i+1} - t_i) = \mathbb{E}(e_i^2) \Delta t_i. \quad (19)$$

$$\sum_i \mathbb{E}(e_i^2) \Delta t_i = \mathbb{E} \sum_i (e_i^2) \Delta t_i = \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \phi(t, \omega)^2 dt \quad (20)$$

□

**Theorem 4.** *Quadratic variation of the stochastic integral (3) is equal to*

$$\int_0^T f^2(t, w) dt = \sum_i e_i^2 \Delta t_i. \quad (21)$$

For this purpose we consider the quantity

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sum_i (e_i \Delta B_i)^2 - \sum_i e_i^2 \Delta t_i \right)^2 \quad (22)$$

and prove that it approaches 0 as  $\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0$ . We first rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_i e_i^2 (\Delta B_i)^2 - \sum_i e_i^2 \Delta t_i \right)^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_i e_i^2 [(\Delta B_i)^2 - \Delta t_i] \right)^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \sum_{i,j} e_i^2 e_j^2 [(\Delta B_i)^2 - \Delta t_i] [(\Delta B_j)^2 - \Delta t_j]. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Just as in the calculation of the quadratic variation of the Brownian motion let us split the above sum in two sums: in the first one we keep the terms with  $i \neq j$  and in the second one we keep terms with  $i = j$ .

Let us first look at terms with  $i \neq j$ , for instance  $i < j$ . Then  $\left[(\Delta B_j)^2 - \Delta t_j\right]$  is independent of  $e_i^2 e_j^2 \left[(\Delta B_i)^2 - \Delta t_i\right]$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} e_i^2 e_j^2 \left[(\Delta B_i)^2 - \Delta t_i\right] \left[(\Delta B_j)^2 - \Delta t_j\right] &= \mathbb{E} e_i^2 e_j^2 \left[(\Delta B_i)^2 - \Delta t_i\right] \mathbb{E} \left[(\Delta B_j)^2 - \Delta t_j\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} e_i^2 e_j^2 \left[(\Delta B_i)^2 - \Delta t_i\right] \cdot 0 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Let us now consider the case of  $i = j$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E} e_i^4 \left[(\Delta B_i)^2 - \Delta t_i\right]^2 = \mathbb{E} e_i^4 \mathbb{E} \left[(\Delta B_i)^2 - \Delta t_i\right]^2, \quad (25)$$

since random variables  $e_i^4$  and  $\left[(\Delta B_i)^2 - \Delta t_i\right]^2$  are independent. It follows from the fact that  $e_i$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable and thus  $\Delta B_i$  is independent of  $e_i$ . But  $\mathbb{E} \left[(\Delta B_i)^2 - \Delta t_i\right]^2 = 2(\Delta t_i)^2$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_i (e_i \Delta B_i)^2 - \sum_i e_i^2 \Delta t_i \right)^2 &= \sum_i 2 \mathbb{E} e_i^4 (\Delta t_i)^2 \\ &\leq \|\Pi\| \sum_i 2 \mathbb{E} e_i^4 \Delta t_i. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Since  $\sum_i 2 \mathbb{E} e_i^4 \Delta t_i$  converges to  $\int_0^T \mathbb{E} f^4 dt < \infty$ . Thus

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sum_i (e_i \Delta B_i)^2 - \sum_i e_i^2 \Delta t_i \right)^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (27)$$

and quadratic variation of  $I(f)$  is proved to be

$$\int_0^T f^2(t, w) dt. \quad (28)$$

**Itô integral for general functions.** We now describe the class of functions  $f(t, w)$  for which the Itô integral will be defined.

**Definition 5.** Let  $V = V(S, T)$  be the class of functions  $f(t, w) : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that:

- (1)  $f(t, w)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$  - adapted
- (2)  $\int_S^T f(t, w)^2 dt < \infty$ .

We claim that each function  $f \in V(S, T)$  can be approximated by a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$  of simple functions (or equivalently, by a sequence of simple processes) in the sense that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\mathbb{E} \int_S^T (f - \varphi_n)^2 \rightarrow 0. \quad (29)$$

The approximation is done in three steps:

**Step 1** (Approximate bounded continuous functions with simple functions)

Let  $g \in V$  be bounded, i. e., every trajectory  $g(\cdot, w)$  ( $w$  is fixed and  $t$  changes) is continuous. Then, there exists a sequence of simple functions  $\varphi_n \in V$ , such that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\mathbb{E} \int_S^T (g - \varphi_n)^2 dt \rightarrow 0. \quad (30)$$

**Step 2** (Approximate bounded functions with bounded continuous functions)

Let  $h \in V$  be bounded, then there exists a sequence of bounded continuous functions  $g_n$ , such that

$$\mathbb{E} \int_S^T (h - g_n)^2 dt \rightarrow 0. \quad (31)$$

**Step 3** (Approximate general functions with bounded functions)

Let  $f \in V$ , then there exists a sequence of bounded functions  $h_n$ , such that

$$\mathbb{E} \int_S^T (f - h_n)^2 dt \rightarrow 0. \quad (32)$$

Putting together steps 1,2 and 3 we get that for any function  $f(t, w) \in V$  there exists a sequence of simple functions  $\varphi_n(t, w)$  such that (30) is true. We define then the Itô integral of function  $f(t, w)$  as

$$I(f) = \int_S^T f(t, \omega) dB_t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(\varphi_n). \quad (33)$$

*Question:* Why does the limit exist and in what sense?

*Answer:* By Theorem 3 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(I(\varphi_n) - I(\varphi_m))^2 &= \mathbb{E} \int_S^T (\varphi_n - \varphi_m)^2 dt \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \int_S^T (f - \varphi_m)^2 dt + \mathbb{E} \int_S^T (\varphi_n - f)^2 dt \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Thus the sequence of random variables  $\left\{ \int_S^T \varphi_n(t, \omega) dB_t \right\}$  forms a Cauchy sequence in  $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Since  $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is a complete space then there exists a limit of  $I(\varphi_n)$  as an element of  $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . This limit is by definition the Itô integral  $I(f)$ .

**Example:** Compute  $\int_0^T B_t dB_t$ .

By definition

$$\int_0^T B_t dB_t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \varphi_n(t, \omega) dB_t, \quad (35)$$

where  $\varphi_n$  is such that  $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T (\varphi_n - B_t)^2 dt \rightarrow 0$  and  $\varphi_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted.

As we already saw in the beginning of the lecture we can approximate  $f(t, w) = B_t$  by partitioning  $[0, T]$  into  $[0, t_1], [t_1, t_2], \dots, [t_{n-1}, t_n = T]$  and defining  $\varphi_n(t, w) = B(t_i)$  for  $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ . Let us first check that  $\varphi_n$  indeed approximated  $f$  in the sense of (29):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \int_0^T (\phi_n - B_t)^2 dt &= \mathbb{E} \sum_i \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (\phi_n - B_t)^2 dt = \sum_i \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \mathbb{E} (B(t_i) - B(t))^2 dt \\
&= \sum_i \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (t - t_i) dt = \sum_i \frac{(t_{i+1} - t_i)^2}{2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

If we define  $\max(t_{i+1} - t_i) = M_n$  then

$$\sum_i \frac{(t_{i+1} - t_i)^2}{2} \leq \sum_i \frac{t_{i+1} - t_i}{2} M_n = \frac{M_n}{2} \sum_i (t_{i+1} - t_i) = \frac{M_n}{2} T \rightarrow 0. \tag{37}$$

Thus we have to compute  $\int_0^T \phi_n dB_t = \sum_i B(t_i) \Delta B_i$ , where  $\Delta B_i = B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i)$ .

We use the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta B_i^2 &= B(t_{i+1})^2 - B(t_i)^2 = (B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i))^2 + 2B(t_{i+1})B(t_i) - 2B(t_i)^2 \\
&= (B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i))^2 + 2B(t_i)(B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i)).
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Summing both parts over  $i$  we get

$$B_T^2 = \sum_i (B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i))^2 + 2I(\phi_n). \tag{39}$$

Therefore

$$I(\phi_n) = \frac{B_T^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (B_{i+1} - B_i)^2, \text{ but } \sum_i (B_{i+1} - B_i)^2 \xrightarrow{L_2} T. \tag{40}$$

Finally,

$$\int_0^T B_t dB_t = \frac{B_T^2}{2} - \frac{T}{2}. \tag{41}$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Steven Shreve, *Stochastic Calculus for Finance II: Continuous-Time Models*
- [2] Richard Durrett, *Stochastic Calculus: A Practical Introduction*.
- [3] Ioannis Karatzas, Steven E. Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*.
- [4] Bernt Oksendal, *Stochastic Differential Equations*